

**New York City
Noise Guidelines and Regulations
NOISE
CHAPTER 19**

Noise, in its simplest definition, is unwanted sound. While high noise levels may cause hearing loss, the levels associated with environmental noise assessments are often below this hazardous range. However, noise levels that are not considered hazardous should not be overlooked, since they can cause stress-related illnesses, disrupt sleep, and interrupt activities requiring concentration. In New York City, with its high concentration of population and commercial activities, such problems may be common.

This chapter discusses the topic of noise as it relates to regulations and guidelines that govern activities in New York City. It defines technical terms, discusses the appropriateness of a noise analysis, and provides information related to detailed noise analyses, study area definitions, technical subareas, models, and analysis techniques used. Also discussed are methods used by agencies for projects within and outside New York City as well as accepted industry practices for environmental noise assessments applicable to New York City projects. Relative to noise, the goal of CEQR is to determine both (1) a proposed project's potential effects on sensitive noise receptors, including the effects on the level of noise inside residential, commercial, and institutional facilities (if applicable) and (2) the effects of ambient noise levels on new sensitive uses introduced by the proposed project. If significant adverse impacts are identified, CEQR re-quires such impacts to be mitigated or avoided to the greatest extent practicable.

As mentioned throughout the Manual, it is important for an applicant to work closely with the lead agency during the entire environmental review process. In addition, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) often works with the lead agency during the CEQR process to provide technical review, recommendations and approvals relating to noise. When the review identifies the need for long-term measures to be incorporated after CEQR (prior to or during development), DEP, in coordination with the lead agency, determines whether an Institutional Control, such as an (E) designation, Restrictive Declaration, or Memorandum may be placed on the affected site. The Mayor's Office of Environmental Remediation (OER) has the authority and responsibility for administering post-CEQR (E) designations and Restrictive Declarations recorded on privately-owned parcels.

100. DEFINITIONS

In addition to defining technical terms used in a noise assessment, this section provides background information to better understand such an assessment.

110. SOURCES OF NOISE

For CEQR purposes, the three principal types of noise sources that affect the New York City environment are mobile, stationary, and construction sources.

111. MOBILE SOURCE NOISE

Mobile sources are those noise sources that move in relation to a noise-sensitive receptor—principally automobiles, buses, trucks, aircraft, and trains. Each has its own distinctive

noise character, and, consequently, an associated set of noise assessment descriptors. The details of these signatures and descriptors are discussed in following sections.

112. STATIONARY SOURCE NOISE

Stationary sources of noise do not move in relation to a noise-sensitive receptor. Typical stationary noise sources of concern for CEQR include machinery or mechanical equipment associated with industrial and manufacturing operations; or building heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning systems. In addition, noise produced by crowds of people within a defined location, such as children in playgrounds or spectators attending concerts or sporting events and noise produced by concerts or by announcements using amplification systems, are considered stationary sources.

113. CONSTRUCTION NOISE

Construction noise sources comprise both mobile (*e.g.*, trucks, bulldozers, *etc.*) and stationary (*e.g.*, compressors, pile drivers, power tools, *etc.*) sources. Construction noise is examined separately in Chapter 22, “Construction Impacts,” because it is temporary, even though the duration of construction activities may last years. The duration of each phase of construction is a factor that should be considered when assessing noise from construction activities. See Chapter 22, “Construction Impacts,” for more guidance.

120. BACKGROUND DISCUSSION

This section provides the reader with a background of the terminology used in noise assessment discussions, the basic physical characteristics of noise, the types and appropriate use of noise descriptors, and what are considered receptors (noise-sensitive locations) in the conduct of noise analyses.

121. CHARACTERISTICS OF NOISE

The first step in understanding the impact of sound, its perception, and control is an understanding of the source, path, and receptor. The source is the equipment or process directly responsible for the sound generation. The path is the medium of sound propagation, such as air, water, or solid materials. The receptor is the final destination of concern for the sound in question. For CEQR purposes, the receptor is usually persons being affected; the ear of an affected person is the final destination of the noise source of concern. Each link of this chain plays a role in producing a resultant sound pressure level at the receptor.

122. SOUND LEVELS: PROPAGATION VELOCITY, WAVELENGTHS AND FREQUENCIES, AND DIFFRACTION

Sound pressure is the parameter that is normally measured in noise assessments. People's ears respond to “acoustic” pressures that represent the range from the threshold of hearing to the threshold of pain. This vast range is represented as a logarithmic scale.

A basic measure of sound is the sound pressure level (SPL), which is expressed in decibels (denoted dB). When the SPL = 0 dB, the acoustic pressure is the same as the threshold of hearing, or the SPL at which people with healthy hearing can just begin to hear a sound.

Sound is emitted as a wave of varying length and frequency. A higher frequency sound is perceived as a higher pitch—for example, the sound of the flute. A lower frequency is

heard as a lower pitch—for example, the sound of the bass drum. The frequency is expressed in cycles per second or Hertz (Hz): one Hz is one cycle per second. Just as the ear cannot hear sound pressure levels below a certain range, it cannot hear some frequencies above a certain range. The normal range of hearing is 20 Hz to 20,000 Hz or 20 kiloHertz (kHz).

The velocity of sound, which is constant in air, is governed by the relationship ‘velocity equals wave length times frequency.’ Therefore, since sound travels at a constant velocity in air, the longer the wavelength, the shorter the frequency, and vice versa. The wavelength determines how the sound interacts with the physical environment. Since sound is a wave phenomenon, it is also subject to “diffraction,” such as “bending” around corners. This is why a person continues to hear some sound from a source on the other side of a wall that is higher than the individual in question.

In general, hearing is such that a change of 3 dB is just noticeable, a change of 5 dB is clearly noticeable, and a change of 10 dB is perceived as a doubling or halving of sound level. In a large open area with no obstructive or reflective surfaces, SPL drops from a point source of noise at a rate of 6 dB with each doubling of distance from the source. For “line” sources (such as vehicles on a street), the SPL drops off at a rate of 3 dB(A) with each doubling of the distance from the source. Over distances greater than 1,000 feet, this may not hold true as atmospheric conditions cause changes in sound path and absorption. The drop-off rate also varies with both terrain conditions and the presence of obstructions. In the urban canyon environment present in New York City, drop-off rates along city streets generally range from 2 to 4 dB per doubling of distance from the source because of sound reflections from buildings. The drop-off rate should be verified by field measurements whenever ideal open situations do not exist and a drop-off rate is required in the analysis.

123. NOISE DESCRIPTORS

Many descriptors are commonly used in environmental noise assessments. The choice of specific descriptors is related to the nature of the noise “signature” (SPL, frequency, and duration) of the source and the potential effect it may have on the surrounding environment.

123.1. Sound Weighting

Sound is often measured and described in terms of its overall energy, taking all frequencies into account. However, the hearing process is not the same at all frequencies. Over the normal hearing range, humans are most sensitive to sounds with frequencies between 200 Hz and 10 kHz. Therefore, noise measurements are often adjusted or weighted as a function of frequency to account for human perception and sensitivities. The most common weighting networks used are the A- and C-weighting networks.

These weight scales were developed to allow sound level meters to simulate the frequency sensitivity of the ear. They use filter networks that approximate hearing. The A-weighted network is the most commonly used and sound levels measured using this weighting are noted as dB(A). The letter “A” indicates that the sound has been filtered to reduce the strength of very low and very high frequency sounds, much as the human ear does. A listing of common noise sources with their associated typical dB(A) values is shown in Table 19-1. Note that the table presents a representative range of noise levels, where 0 dB(A) corresponds to the threshold of hearing and 120 dBA corresponds to an air raid siren at 50 feet.

Table 19-1 Noise Levels of Common Sources

Sound Source	SPL (dB(A))
Air Raid Siren at 50 feet	120
Maximum Levels at Rock Concerts (Rear Seats)	110
On Platform by Passing Subway Train	100
On Sidewalk by Passing Heavy Truck or Bus	90
On Sidewalk by Typical Highway	80
On Sidewalk by Passing Automobiles with Mufflers	70
Typical Urban Area	60-70
Typical Suburban Area	50-60
Quiet Suburban Area at Night	40-50
Typical Rural Area at Night	30-40
Isolated Broadcast Studio	20
Audiometric (Hearing Testing) Booth	10
Threshold of Hearing	0

Notes: A change in 3 dB(A) is a just noticeable change in SPL. A change in 10 dB(A) is perceived as a doubling or halving in SPL.

Sources: Cowan, James P. Handbook of Environmental Acoustics. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1994. Egan, M. David, Architectural Acoustics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1988.

The C-weighted network provides essentially the unweighted microphone sensitivity over the frequency range of maximum human sensitivity. C-weighted measurements, denoted as dB(C), are used in some ordinances and standards, usually when dealing with stationary mechanical noise sources; however, dB(A) are normally used for environmental assessments. Since C-weighting does not attenuate frequency levels below 1,000 Hz the way A-weighting does, inspection of dB(A) versus dB(C) readings may give a quick estimate of the low frequency contribution of the sound source in question.

The most common descriptors used in environmental noise assessments are (1) time-equivalent level (L_{eq}); (2) day-night level (L_{dn}); (3) percentile level (L_x); (4) sound exposure level (SEL); and (5) maximum instantaneous level (SPL). Each is typically based upon A-weighted measurements and described briefly below.

1. L_{eq} is the continuous equivalent sound level, defined as the single SPL that, if constant over the stated measurement period, would contain the same sound energy as the actual monitored sound that is fluctuating in level over the measurement period. L_{eq} is widely recognized as the descriptor of choice for most environmental noise assessments. In addition to its simplicity, it is easy to combine with other readings or predictions to derive a total noise level. L_{eq} is an energy-average quantity that must be contrasted with an average or median sound level. L_{eq} must be qualified in terms of a time period to have meaning. The normal representation for the time period is placing it in parentheses in terms of hours, e.g., $L_{eq}(1)$ refers to a 1-hour measurement and $L_{eq}(24)$ refers to a 24-hour measurement.
2. L_{dn} is the day-night equivalent sound level, defined as a 24-hour continuous L_{eq} with a 10 dB adjustment added to all hourly noise levels recorded between the hours of 10 PM and 7 AM. This 10 dB addition accounts for the extra sensitivity people have to noise during typical sleeping hours. Aircraft noise around airports is usually mapped out in terms of L_{dn} contours, which are constant lines of L_{dn} mapped similarly to elevations on topographical maps.

3. **L_x** is the percentile level, where x is any number from 0 to 100. Here x is percentage of the measurement time that the stated sound level has been exceeded. For example, $L_{10} = 80 \text{ dB(A)}$ means that SPL measurements exceeded 80 dB(A) 10 percent of the time during the measurement period. As with L_{eq} , the measurement time period must be specified and is denoted in parentheses (*i.e.*, $L_{10}(1)$ corresponds to the SPL exceeded 10 percent of the time during a one-hour period). The most commonly used L_x values are L_1 , L_{10} , L_{50} , and L_{90} . L_1 , the SPL exceeded 1 percent of the time, is usually regarded as the average maximum noise level when readings are an hour or less in duration. L_{10} is usually regarded as an indication of traffic noise exposure with a steady flow of evenly-spaced vehicles. L_{50} provides an indication of the median sound level. L_{90} is usually regarded as the residual level, or the background noise level without the source in question or discrete events.
4. **SEL** is the sound exposure level, defined as a single number rating indicating the total energy of a discrete noise-generating event (*e.g.*, an aircraft flyover) compressed into a 1-second time duration. This level is handy as a consistent rating method that may be combined with other SEL and L_{eq} readings to provide a complete noise scenario for measurements and predictions. However, care must be taken in the use of these values since they may be misleading because their numeric value is higher than any sound level which existed during the measurement period.
5. The maximum instantaneous **SPL** is the highest single reading over the measurement period. It is useful to note this level because if it is very high, it elevates the L_{eq} , perhaps making it appear spurious. In instances where uses may be particularly sensitive to single event noise events, the lead agency should also consider analyzing potential noise impacts on a single event basis, particularly if the single event would be entirely new to the receptor, or where the receptor would experience a significant increase in the number of these single events.

Recommended descriptors for characterizing various types of noise are provided below. The discussion includes a notation of major agencies that use different descriptors for noise analysis purposes. It should be noted that the Noise Exposure Guidelines recommended by DEP (see Section 420, below) are expressed in terms of L_{10} for vehicular noise, daily L_{dn} for rail sources, and yearly L_{dn} for aircraft. The New York City Noise Control Code specifies maximum allowable sound pressure levels for designated octave bands emanating from a commercial or business enterprise as measured within a receiving property (see Section 711, below). In addition, the New York City Zoning Resolution uses maximum instantaneous octave band sound pressure levels as its noise descriptor for industrial noise sources (see Section 712, below). Detailed analyses in these areas, if required, should include these descriptors for those assessments.

123.2. Descriptors for Mobile Sources

Each type of mobile source noise generator produces a distinct noise. The use of different descriptors for each is appropriate, as described below.

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC

Because vehicular traffic on local streets is not steady—vehicles often move by in groups or platoons—its noise signature is characterized by fluctuating levels. If the traffic stream is characterized by sporadic heavy vehicles such as trucks, the noise levels could contain “spikes” associated with these events. For that reason it is generally best to use the

descriptors of $L_{eq}(1)$ or $L_{10}(1)$ in a noise assessment. $L_{eq}(1)$ captures an hour's total noise energy at the location, and $L_{10}(1)$ represents the level exceeded 10 percent of the time. The $L_{10}(1)$ descriptor may be considered an average of the peak noise levels at a given location. If the noise fluctuates very little, then L_{eq} approximates L_{50} , or the median level. If the noise fluctuates broadly, then the L_{eq} is about equal to the L_{10} value. If extreme fluctuations are present, the L_{eq} could exceed L_{90} , or the background level, by 10 or more decibels. Thus, the relationship between L_{eq} and the levels of exceedance depend on the character of the noise. In community noise measurements, L_{eq} generally lies between L_{10} and L_{50} , but is often closer to L_{10} where fluctuating traffic noise is the dominant noise source.

AIRCRAFT

Aircraft noise consists of a series of single events over time. Depending on the location of and ambient noise levels at the receptor, these single events may be easily distinguishable from background noise levels. This is particularly true, for example, where the receptor is close to an airport and in the flight path. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) currently averages daily L_{dn} levels to use the yearly L_{dn} as its preferred noise descriptor. The distance from the flight path where various L_{dn} levels occur is measured (or calculated) and then mapped. These L_{dn} "noise contours" constitute the basic form of reference for assessing impacts associated with aircraft noise. Many airports are monitored to derive annual L_{dn} contours, and the FAA has its own computer program to calculate L_{dn} contours. The Noise Exposure Guidelines (see Section 420 below) also use the annual L_{dn} descriptor, patterned after FAA specifications for descriptor use. Therefore, when it is necessary to conduct a detailed noise analysis involving aircraft noise, the annual L_{dn} descriptor should be used in the analyses. Measured annual L_{dn} values are available from the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PA-NYNJ) for its facilities in the form of noise contour maps, or may be calculated using the federally-approved INM computer model and flight data from the Port Authority.

Based on flight data, it is also possible to establish $L_{eq}(1)$ noise levels for existing and future conditions. Since annual L_{dn} values tend to average out high hourly values, it is recommended that the $L_{eq}(1)$ descriptor be used in this noise analysis (see Section 332, below).

TRAINS

Similar to aircraft noise, train noise comprises a series of single events over time. Depending on the location of the receptor and ambient noise levels, these single events may be easily distinguishable from background noise levels. This is particularly true, for example, at noise receptors close to elevated rail lines. The Federal Transit Administration (FTA - formerly UMTA), depending on the adjacent land use, uses the $L_{eq}(1)$ or L_{dn} as its principal noise descriptors for mass transit noise. The Noise Exposure Guidelines (see Section 420 below) for noise assessment require the use of the daily L_{dn} for impact assessment. Because of these standards, it is recommended that the L_{dn} be used in the analysis of train noise. However, because the L_{dn} descriptor tends to average out high hourly values over 24 hours, it is recommended that the $L_{eq}(1)$ descriptors be used for purposes of impact analysis.

123.3. Descriptors for Stationary Sources

Stationary source noise is usually associated with mechanical equipment used for manufacturing purposes or building mechanical systems. Other stationary sources worth noting are crowd noise, as related to playgrounds or spectator events, and noise from

amplification systems. In many cases, the nature of this noise is fairly uniform. The recommended descriptor for this type of noise source is the $L_{eq}(1)$ descriptor. However, for purposes of developing noise attenuation measures for mechanical equipment, the analysis should generally be performed using the octave band components of the sound. The analysis should include the 31.5, 63, 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, and 8000 Hz octave band center frequencies.

124. RECEPTORS

Receptors are generally the subject of most noise impact analyses. A noise-sensitive location (known as a “receptor”) is usually defined as an area where human activity may be adversely affected when noise levels exceed predefined thresholds of acceptability or when noise levels increase by an amount exceeding a predefined threshold of change. These receptors either currently exist or would be introduced by the project. These locations may be indoors or outdoors. Indoor receptors include, but are not limited to, residences, hotels, motels, health care facilities, nursing homes, schools, houses of worship, court houses, public meeting facilities, museums, libraries, and theaters. Outdoor receptors include, but are not limited to, parks, outdoor theaters, golf courses, zoos, campgrounds, and beaches.

Land use and zoning maps are usually helpful in initially targeting receptors that should be analyzed; however, field inspection of the area in question is the most appropriate way to identify all receptors that may be affected by the proposed project. In some cases additional receptor sites may need to be identified after the initial analysis has been performed to ensure that the extent of the area where significant impacts may occur has been defined.

130. NOISE CHARACTERISTICS OF TYPICAL NOISE SOURCES

131. MOBILE SOURCES

131.1. Vehicular Traffic

Vehicular traffic includes automobiles, buses, and trucks. The noise generated by these vehicles comes from the operation of its engine and the sound of its tires passing over the roadbed. Buses and trucks are similar in their respective noise generating characteristics, while cars are different.

Automobiles generally produce noise levels that are independent of vehicle speed, but vary with engine speed. With changing gears, the noise levels tend to increase in a sawtooth kind of pattern as vehicular speed increases. The interaction of the road surface with the tires generates noise that increases with vehicle speed. At vehicular speeds below 30 miles per hour, the typical automobile noise spectrum is dominated by engine noise. At speeds higher than 30 miles per hour, the automobile noise signature is composed of a combination of lower frequency engine noise and higher frequency tire noise. The engine and tire noise for vehicular speeds above 30 miles per hour are comparable in noise level.

Noise generated by buses and heavy trucks is also composed of engine and tire noise, but tire noise tends to dominate the noise signature at vehicular speeds above 30 miles per hour in trucks and buses. Cargo load normally does not significantly affect noise levels because increased load usually results in decreased vehicular speed and the effects cancel each

other out. Because individual trucks and buses are noisier than individual automobiles, the concept of Passenger Car Equivalents is used (see Subsection 332.1).

131.2. Aircraft Operations

The principal noise sources from conventional aircraft (airplanes and helicopters) using New York City airspace are the propulsion system and aerodynamic noise. There are generally three types of engines in use on contemporary airplanes —turbojet, turbofan, and propeller. For turbojets and turbofans, the dominant noise source is the exhaust, generating the characteristic low frequency roar of the jet engine. Propeller aircraft have combinations of engine exhaust noise and propeller noise, with the propeller component usually dominating. This produces the typical whining sound of propeller-driven aircraft.

Aerodynamic noise is generated by airflow around the fuselage, cavities, control surfaces, and landing gear of the aircraft. Aerodynamic noise is usually only dominant during cruise conditions (frequencies above 600 Hz). Conditions during takeoff and landing normally cause propulsion system noise to dominate the aerodynamic component.

Helicopter noise is generated by the engine and main rotor system. The engine noise is similar to that discussed for airplanes, but on a smaller scale. Rotor noise is characterized by slaps or cracks caused by the sharp variations in pressure encountered by the rotating rotor blades as they pass through the aerodynamic wake produced by each adjacent blade. As for propeller noise, the frequency of the rotor noise is proportional to the tip speed and the number of blades in the rotor system.

131.3. Rail Operations

In general, the principal noise sources of rail systems are the interaction between wheels and rails, the propulsion system of the railcars, brakes, and auxiliary equipment (ventilation and horns). The dominant cause of railcar noise over most of the typical speed range is interaction between the wheels and rails. In general, noise increases with train speed and train length.

Noise levels are dependent upon the rail guide way configuration (*i.e.*, whether the track is at-grade, welded rail, joined track, embedded track on grade, or aerial structure with slab track) and whether there are any noise barriers or berms in place.

When railcars travel on tight curves, the dominant noise emitted may be a high pitched squeal or screech. This is usually caused by metal wheels sliding on the rail and scraping metal on metal when the train negotiates a curve.

Other concerns relating to rail operations that may need to be addressed include noise from train crossovers and switches, as well as noise from train warning horns. In some limited situations, noise from new or increased rail yard operations may also have to be examined.

132. STATIONARY SOURCES

The principal stationary noise sources encountered in the City are mechanical equipment associated with industrial and manufacturing operations and building ventilating systems. Other stationary sources worth noting are crowd noise related to playgrounds or spectator events, and noise from amplification systems. The basic characteristics of these sources are described below.

Mechanical equipment generally includes machinery used for industrial purposes, such as motors, compressors, boilers, pumps, transformers, condensers, generators, cooling towers, and ventilating equipment. Such machinery commonly generates noise mechanically (through gears, bearings, belts, fans, or other rotating components), aerodynamically

(through air or fluid flow), and magnetically (through magnetostriction or periodic forces between rotors and stators).

Assuming proper maintenance, mechanical machinery noise is usually characterized by discrete mid- to high-frequency tones. These tones are usually caused by friction, vibration of components, and aerodynamic flow generation. Even when large machinery is properly maintained, noise levels may exceed 100 dB(A) within 10 feet of the equipment. Badly maintained machinery may increase mechanical noise levels by as much as 20 dB(A); this represents a quadrupling of the perceived loudness.

Common mechanical stationary noise sources in the City are ventilating systems. These usually have fans that generate tones at high operating speeds. These tones may propagate through ducts in a building and produce noise in rooms far away from the original source. Air conditioning units may generate noise that could affect adjacent buildings. If not isolated from the building structure by properly tuned springs or resilient materials, ventilating systems and other machinery may generate vibrations that may be sensed throughout a building and possibly a neighborhood.

Aerodynamic noise usually becomes an issue when the air (or other fluid) flows through ducts in a restrictive, unsmooth path, and turbulence is generated. Boilers and steam turbines have liquids and steam flowing through them at high speeds, generating a hissing noise or roaring noise that may exceed 100 dB(A) within 10 feet.

While people are not usually thought of as stationary noise sources, children in playgrounds or spectators at outdoor sporting events or concerts may cause annoyance in communities. Instantaneous crowd noise levels at outdoor events may exceed 90 dB(A). In addition, measurements taken at 10 school playground sites in 1987 concluded that maximum Leq(1) levels at school playground boundaries in the New York City area are 75 dB(A). The equations for calculating playground noise may be obtained from DEP.

Potential noise impacts due to amplification systems at outdoor concert or performance facilities, ballparks, amusement facilities, *etc.*, may be avoided if the system is properly designed and operated (see Section 333).

200. DETERMINING WHETHER A NOISE ANALYSIS IS APPROPRIATE

In many instances, it is possible to determine that a project would not have the potential for a significant noise impact simply from its proposed physical characteristics and, therefore, no further analysis is necessary. Recommended guide-lines for the screening assessment and the rationale behind these guidelines are presented below for mobile and stationary sources.

The initial impact screening considers whether the project would: (1) generate any mobile or stationary sources of noise; and/or (2) be located in an area with existing high ambient noise levels. If the proposed project is located in areas with high ambient noise levels, which typically include those near highly-trafficked thoroughfares, airports, rail, or other loud activities, further noise analysis may be warranted to determine the attenuation measures for the pro-posed project.

210. MOBILE SOURCES

211. VEHICULAR TRAFFIC NOISE

An initial noise assessment may be appropriate if a proposed project would:

- Generate or reroute vehicular traffic; or

- Be located near a heavily trafficked thoroughfare.

212. AIRCRAFT NOISE

An initial noise impact screening analysis, described in Subsection 311.2, is appropriate if the proposed project would:

- Introduce a new receptor and would be located within one mile of an existing flight path; or
- Cause aircraft to fly through existing or new flight paths over or within one mile (horizontal distance parallel to the ground) of a receptor.

213. TRAIN NOISE

Based on previous studies, unless existing ambient noise levels are very low and there are no structures that provide shielding, it is unusual for rail activity to have a significant impact at distances beyond 1,500 feet in New York City. Therefore, a detailed analysis, as described in Subsection 332.3, may be appropriate if the proposed project would:

- Be located within 1,500 feet of existing rail activity and have a direct line of sight to that rail facility; or
- Add rail activity to existing or new rail lines within 1,500 feet of, and have a direct line of site to, a receptor.

220. STATIONARY SOURCES

Based upon previous studies, unless existing ambient noise levels are very low and/or stationary source levels are very high, and there are no structures that provide shielding, it is unusual for stationary sources to have significant impacts at distances beyond 1,500 feet in New York City. Examples of substantial stationary source noise generators include unenclosed cooling or ventilation equipment (other than single-room units), truck loading docks, loudspeaker systems, stationary diesel engines (typically more than 100 horsepower), car washes, or other similar types of uses. The distance between a receptor and a substantial stationary source may be measured from a San-born map or similar real estate or insurance atlas. Therefore, a detailed analysis, as described in Subsection 333, may be appropriate if the proposed project would:

- Cause a substantial stationary source (*i.e.* unenclosed mechanical equipment for manufacturing or building ventilation purposes, playground) to be operating within 1,500 feet of a receptor, with a direct line of sight to that receptor; or
- Introduce a receptor in an area with high ambient noise levels resulting from stationary sources, such as unenclosed manufacturing activities or other loud uses.

300. ASSESSMENT METHODS

If the proposed project does not screen out in the initial noise impact screening analysis below, a more detailed noise analysis, which begins with establishing the study area in Section 320, may be appropriate.

310. NOISE IMPACT SCREENING

For most sources of noise (except train noise), the initial impact screening noise analysis identifies whether the potential exists for the project to generate a significant noise impact at a receptor or be significantly affected by high ambient noise levels. If the basic analysis does not

identify the potential for significant impacts, no further noise analysis is necessary and it may be stated that the proposed project would not result in a significant noise impact.

311. MOBILE SOURCES

311.1. Vehicular Noise

In coordination with the traffic studies (see Chapter 16 of the Manual), traffic volumes should be estimated for the expected hour or hours with the greatest noise level change at sensitive receptors likely to be most affected by the proposed project. For some projects, the worst-case hour or hours may occur during non-typical time periods (*i.e.*, during the nighttime for projects which produce significant traffic volumes or truck traffic when baseline traffic levels and/or ambient noise levels are low.) The method for assigning noise passenger car equivalent (Noise PCE) values to vehicle type is discussed in Subsection 332.1, below. If existing Noise PCE values are increased by 100 percent or more due to a proposed project (which is equivalent to an increase of 3 dB(A) or more), a detailed analysis is generally performed. Conversely, if existing Noise PCE values are not increased by 100 per-cent or more, it is likely that the proposed project would not cause a significant adverse vehicular noise impact, and therefore, no further vehicular noise analysis is needed.

311.2. Aircraft Noise

Yearly Ldn contours should be obtained or calculated for the build year(s) of the proposed project. Calculation of the yearly Ldn contours is seldom necessary, since these contours are updated periodically by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ) for the three major metropolitan airports, which may be contacted for the latest contours. If calculations are necessary, they may be performed using the FAA hand-calculation methodology or the Federal INM V7.0a computer model. Starting with the release of INM Version 7.0, INM capabilities replace the HNM for the evaluation of helicopter noise impacts. Helicopter noise may be calculated using the FAA INM V7.0a computer model or other acceptable modeling based on actual noise measurements of helicopter flyovers. If the proposed project would cause a receptor to be located within an Ldn 65 contour or greater, or if the proposed project would introduce a receptor within this area for an existing flight path, a de-tailed analysis may be appropriate. If the proposed project would either not be located within an Ldn 65 contour or greater or not introduce a receptor within this area of an existing flight path, it is likely that the proposed project would not result in a significant adverse aircraft noise impact, and there-fore, no further aircraft noise analysis is needed.

312. STATIONARY SOURCES

A more refined screen to determine whether a detailed noise analysis is necessary analyzes whether noise from a stationary source would produce potentially significant levels at nearby receptor sites. Figure 19-1 shows noise levels in sound power levels versus distance. If the sound power level exceeds at a given distance shown in Figure 19-1, then a detailed analysis is necessary.




Figure 19-1

Curve for Estimating Lw vs. Distance in Screening Noise Analysis

320. ESTABLISHING STUDY AREAS AND IDENTIFYING RECEPTORS

Guidelines for determining the appropriate study area size and noise receptor locations are described below. Selection of a study area depends on the noise source. Both the effect of noise generated on surrounding receptors as a result of the proposed project and the effect of noise from surrounding sources on the proposed project need to be considered. It should be noted that receptor sites should generally include all locations where significant impacts may occur. Therefore, if significant impacts are identified during the analysis, additional receptor sites, sometimes farther from the noise source than the distance suggested in these guidelines, may have to be added to the analysis. For rezoning purposes, please consult with the Department of City Planning (DCP) prior to selection of sensitive receptors (see Subsection 124), which are identified based on land use in the study area as a result of the proposed project.

321. MOBILE SOURCES OF NOISE

321.1. Vehicular Traffic Sources

The study area for potential noise impacts from vehicular sources includes the locations of receptors along traffic routes to and from the site along which project vehicular trips are assigned, and the proposed site itself, if a receptor would be located there. Of particular importance are routes where traffic levels without the proposed project would be light and made up of lighter vehicles, and where the proposed project would result in a significant number of new trips. Typically, the selection of sensitive noise receptors for analysis goes hand in hand with the traffic and transportation trip generation and assignment process. Once the vehicular trips have been assigned to the roadway network, the potential locations where significant noise impacts could occur may be identified. Typically, this is done by driving the routes to and from the site to identify noise receptors along those routes.

Of particular importance in selecting these receptor locations is the consideration of the existing vehicular mix and the vehicular mix that would be generated by the proposed project. Under noise analysis procedures, vehicles are converted to Noise PCEs, which in turn are used to compute the noise levels for future conditions (See Subsection 332.1). If a significant increase in the number of Noise PCEs is expected (*i.e.*, more than a doubling of Noise PCEs) along any given route that proposed project-related vehicles would use going to and coming from the site within a given hour, then representative receptors should be

selected along that route for analysis. The project itself should also be considered as a receptor if it would include a noise sensitive use. Usually at this stage, these judgments are made without firm data in hand. It is therefore prudent to be conservative in this judgment regarding the analysis locations (*i.e.*, analyze any receptor that may conceivably be affected as a noise analysis location). The actual selection of the potential noise receptor sites may be narrowed if more data are available since potential noise increases along these routes may be calculated.

321.2. Aircraft Sources

Three types of projects require study areas for aircraft-related noise sources: a proposed project that would include a new or expanded aircraft facility, renewal of a lease for an existing facility, or a receptor that would be affected by a proposed project that is near a flight path of an existing aircraft facility and that is typically within the annual 65 dB(A) Ldn contour of the existing aircraft facility. The study area for a new/expanded aircraft facility or a lease renewal (which is more commonly undertaken by a city agency) are essentially the same. In this case, selection of the study area and the sensitive receptors within it should be based on preliminary calculations and mapping of noise contours. Representative locations are then selected from within these areas for detailed noise impact analysis. Every receptor need not be selected for this purpose. For example, if there were a number of residential buildings within this area, then one or more representative receptor sites may be selected within the 60-65 dB(A) Ldn contours, one or more representative receptor sites between the 65 to 70 dB(A) Ldn contours, and so on. The same exercise may be repeated for other types of receptors within the critical contours.

For airport expansions that would include increase of aircraft at the facility, the study area should include receptors within the revised 65 Ldn contour prepared for the expansion, assuming the proposed expansion was fully operational. Representative receptors are then selected from within this study area for aircraft sources for detailed noise impact analysis.

If a proposed project is located near a flight path of an existing aircraft facility and is within an existing 65 dB(A) Ldn contour, then the proposed project would introduce a receptor and the study area is the site of the proposed project itself.

321.3. Rail Facility Sources

Two types of projects generally require study areas for rail-related noise sources: a proposed project that introduces a receptor located within approximately 1,500 feet of an existing rail facility and generally having a direct line of sight to the rail facility; or a proposed project that would include a new rail facility or that would add trains to an existing facility. Similar to aircraft facilities, representative locations should be selected from within the areas most likely to be impacted by the proposed project for projects that would provide new rail facilities or would add trains to an existing rail facility. Not every receptor need be selected for this purpose. However, sufficient data should be collected to define the entire area that may be significantly impacted by the noise level changes.

If a proposed project is within 1,500 feet of, and has a direct line of sight to, an existing rail facility, and the proposed project would be a receptor, the study area should encompass the proposed project site.

322. STATIONARY SOURCES

The study area for stationary sources is based on proximity of a receptor to the site of the proposed project, or the proximity of the proposed project to a major stationary noise

source in the area. When the project would result in a new sensitive receptor within 1,500 feet of a stationary noise source, with a direct line of sight to that source, the receptor and source should be considered for analysis. Generally, when the proposed project would result in any significant stationary noise sources, receptors within a 1,500-foot radius of the proposed project that would be within a direct line of sight of the proposed project should be considered for analysis. Receptors closest to a proposed project containing a significant stationary source noise generator are the first candidates for inclusion in the analysis. If there is more than one such receptor within this distance from the site, the analysis may be phased to analyze the closest receptor first—if no significant impact is found at the closest site, then it is reasonable to conclude that receptors farther from the site would also not be affected by the proposed project. Otherwise, it is necessary to extend the analysis to the farthest receptor where no significant impact is found. A similar relationship between the proposed project and existing and future No-Action stationary sources should be described, as appropriate. Although these sources may not have to be analyzed separately (because they are included in ambient noise levels) they should be generally identified. It is possible that one or more may be close enough to the site of the proposed project and loud enough to require consideration of noise mitigation at the project site.

330. MODELS AND ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

The basic analysis techniques used for noise impact analysis follow the same basic procedures as for other impact analysis areas—existing conditions are first characterized, then No-Action conditions are projected and analyzed, and finally, the With-Action condition is projected and analyzed. Impact assessments are then made by comparing the No-Action and With-Action conditions. The following discussion outlines this procedure for mobile sources and stationary sources of noise.

331. NOISE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

The first procedure for each noise source is to characterize existing conditions at selected receptor locations within the noise study areas. As a first step within this process, existing noise levels at receptors are established through a noise measurement program. This noise measurement program described below follows a method consistent for all sensitive receptors.

331.1. Noise Measurement Instrumentation

The most common instruments used for environmental noise assessment are sound level meters and spectrum analyzers. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) has published standards on types of meters and methods of sound measurement. ANSI defines three types of meters—Type 0, having the most stringent tolerances, targeted for laboratory use; Type 1, called a precision meter; and Type 2, a general-purpose meter, having the least stringent tolerances acceptable for SPL monitoring. Sound level meters without at least Type 2 tolerances are not appropriate for SPL monitoring. Many sound level meters available for use today can measure and store in their memory the various statistical and average sound level parameters described earlier. These parameters may be read directly from the sound level meter or downloaded to a computer. Many of these devices may be programmed to carry out these measurements for a user-defined period at regular intervals, making long term monitoring even more convenient. Instrumentation used for the measurements must meet appropriate ANSI standards.

Most sound level meters have three time response characteristics —slow, fast, and impulsive. Slow, corresponding to a one second time constant, is usually recommended for environmental noise assessments, such as those performed for CEQR. Fast, corresponding to a one-eighth second time constant, is usually recommended to monitor discrete events to get a better indication of peak levels. Impulsive, corresponding to 1/30 second, is used for assessing human loudness response to impulsive sounds.

331.2. Noise Measurement Procedures

ANSI also provides guidelines for SPL measurement practices to provide reliable data. Basic measurement procedures are defined by these standards and accepted industry practices.

These guidelines account for microphone placement, calibration of instruments, and precautions pertaining to meteorological conditions, principally wind speed. The following are general guidelines for reference.

CALIBRATION. To be sure that the meter is working properly, measuring instrument calibration should be checked before and after each series of readings. Typical sound level calibrators are small hand-held devices with adapters to fit the measuring microphone of the meter being used. With a properly operating meter and calibrator, the meter should not vary by more than 0.5 dB. Any variation beyond 0.5 dB that cannot be accounted for is an indication that the device should be returned to the manufacturer for adjustment and calibration. In no case should a meter be adjusted manually in the field unless a new microphone is being fitted. Calibrators and sound meters should be factory-calibrated at least once a year.

MICROPHONE PLACEMENT. To avoid distortion, the measuring microphone is placed a minimum of 3 to 4 feet away from any reflecting surfaces, including the ground, walls, and the body of the person performing the measurements. Failure to do so may introduce errors as high as 6 dB from reflected sound. Whenever feasible, the meter should be mounted on a tripod to permit the monitoring personnel to stand away from the instrument. Complete records of the measurement, including specifics of the measurement location(s), a map of the monitoring location(s), time of measurement(s), meteorological conditions during the measurement(s), identification of significant sound sources, model and serial numbers of all equipment used, and calibration results should be made. The electronic log files from the sound level meter should also be provided. This allows for accurate duplication of the measurements, if necessary, due to questions, changes in conditions or inconsistencies.

ACCOUNTING FOR WIND. When measurements are performed outdoors or in areas where airflow may be sensed, the movement of air may skew the monitoring results because wind may introduce errors of as much as 20 dB over actual noise levels. Therefore, a windscreen designed to fit the specific instrument should be used. These windscreens are typically open cell foam spheres and are designed to block wind noise without attenuating the signal being measured. Even with a windscreen in place, wind speeds above 12 miles per hour may cause erroneous readings. Therefore, wind speed should be monitored and readings should not be taken when wind speeds exceed 12 miles per hour.

ACCOUNTING FOR TEMPERATURE. According to ANSI Standard S1.13-2005, the acceptable temperature range for measurements is 14 degrees Fahrenheit to 122 degrees Fahrenheit. In addition, the temperature shall not be outside the ranges recommended for

operation by the sound level meter manufacturer or individual instruments in the measurement system.

ACCOUNTING FOR RAIN. During periods of inclement weather (*i.e.*, rain, snow, *etc.*), measurements should not be taken. Measurement should also be performed when the ground is dry, and not when the ground is wet or snow covered.

NOISE MEASUREMENT PERIODS AND NOISE PEAK HOUR SELECTION. Noise measurements should be made in accordance with the expected times that the proposed activity at the site would be greatest, or when surrounding receptors may otherwise be most likely to experience significant impacts because of the proposed project. While this generally occurs for most projects during the peak typical weekday traffic hours (*i.e.*, the AM, midday, and/or PM peak periods), this may not be appropriate for some projects and it may be necessary to gather data during weekend, late night hours, or for all 24 hours. For example, noise generated by traffic leaving a large multiplex movie theater may result in significant noise impacts during late night hours; maximum project impacts from truck traffic generated by solid waste transfer stations may occur either during late night or early morning hours; and noise from power generation facilities may be most likely to cause significant impacts during late night or early morning hours when background levels are low. Traffic data collection should be coordinated with the noise studies to ensure that, where necessary for analysis purposes, traffic data is available for late night, weekend, and/or all 24 hours. Traffic data collection should be conducted in accordance with the methods described in Chapter 16, "Transportation." Vehicular trip assignments and their hourly distribution should be defined before the hours for noise analysis are determined. Care must be exercised in selecting the noise measurement period and, as detailed information about a project is developed, it may be necessary to supplement initial noise measurements by including additional time periods.

OTHER ACTIVITIES DURING THE CONDUCT OF THE NOISE MEASUREMENTS. While each of the noise measurements is being taken, events that contribute to the monitored values should be noted. At locations where traffic on the adjacent street is a significant noise source, a traffic counting and classification program should be conducted that records the following: total vehicles; total number of buses (*i.e.*, vehicles having two or three axles and designed to carry more than nine passengers); total number of heavy trucks (*i.e.*, cargo vehicles with three or more axles with a gross vehicle weight of more than 26,400 pounds); total number of medium trucks (*i.e.*, cargo vehicles with two axles and six tires with a gross vehicle weight of between 9,900 and 26,400 pounds); and total number of passenger vehicles or light trucks (*i.e.*, vehicles having two axles and four tires with a gross vehicle weight of less than 9,900 pounds).

At locations where rail noise is a significant noise source, the number of trains passing by during the measurement period should be recorded, and if possible, the number of cars on the train should be noted.

If noise from a rail facility or aircraft becomes audible during the measurement program, measurements should be suspended until that sound is no longer audible. Where these noise sources are of concern, they are calculated rather than being measured because of the extreme variability in measured data from these sources. Measured noise levels for aircraft noise are unreliable because they are highly sensitive to environmental factors, such as atmospheric and terrain conditions.

In general, measurements should also be suspended when unusual events occur during the measurement period. Typically this includes noise from sirens of emergency vehicles, construction activities, *etc.* However, it may include noise from other non-dominant sources (*e.g.*, rail noise when vehicular traffic is the dominant noise source).

DURATION OF NOISE MEASUREMENTS. The duration of noise measurements should be sufficient to ensure that the measurements are reflective of ambient conditions. For example, at locations where traffic is the dominant noise source, measurements made for shorter time periods are generally sufficient since noise is relatively insensitive to minor fluctuations in changes in Noise PCEs. For example, it takes a doubling of Noise PCEs to equal a 3 dB(A) change (*i.e.*, just perceptible) in sound levels. For that reason, it is generally not necessary to conduct noise measurements for more than a 20-minute period during any hour at any given location, provided that a traffic count and vehicle classification is conducted simultaneously with the noise measurement at the measurement site. Typically, one-hour measurements are recommended for rail facilities. Shorter measurements (*e.g.* 20-minute) may be allowed for certain rail facilities, such as subways, provided the measurements include typical rail operation events. Because of rail scheduling, the duration of measurements at these locations should be determined on a site-specific basis. It is important to ensure that the duration of the measurement period is sufficiently long to include typical events and conditions. When doubt may arise about whether the measurement duration is sufficiently long to be representative of conditions, 20-minute measurements may be compared to one-hour values to see if there are discrepancies in the values. If the proposed project is expected to generate traffic or stationary source noise over a 24-hour period, it may be necessary to take 24-hour noise measurements at one or more receptor locations.

MONITORING RESULTS. At the completion of the measurement, the following noise levels should be recorded from the noise meter: Lmax, Lmin, L1, L10, L50, L90, Leq(1). Recording of these descriptors may assist in determining if any anomalous conditions occurred during the measurement, if the measurement is called into question during the detailed analysis. If monitoring results are to be used in the placement of noise (E) designations, 1/3 octave bands should also be recorded.

332. MOBILE SOURCES ANALYSES

332.1. Vehicular Noise

For most projects reviewed under CEQR, a desk-top analysis may be employed using a logarithmic equation (described below). However, the FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM) should be used for the following situations:

- Analyzing conditions that result in new or significant changes in roadway or street geometry;
- Roadways that currently carry no or very low traffic volumes are involved;
- Ambient noise is the result of multiple sources including traffic; or
- A detailed analysis of changes due to the traffic component of the total ambient noise levels is necessary.

The TNM model takes into account various factors that influence vehicular noise, including traffic volumes, vehicle mix, source/receptor geometry, shielding (including barriers and terrain), ground attenuation, *etc.* While calculated values using the TNM model may be used directly, it is preferable to verify the accuracy of the model for the

particular condition being analyzed. Based upon these measurements, adjustment factors may be developed to account for site-specific differences between measured and model-predicted values.

One particularly useful application of the TNM model is for situations where traffic is one of the components of the total ambient noise. In such situations, the TNM model may be used to compute the traffic component of the noise, and may then be subtracted from the measured ambient noise levels to determine the non-traffic components of the total ambient noise levels.

Computerized models, such as CadnaA and SoundPLAN, either have developed or are in the process of developing algorithms that incorporate the TNM model for vehicular noise calculations. Upon verification by FHWA that these algorithms produce results comparable to the TNM model, they may be utilized for CEQR analyses.

While the TNM model often yields accurate prediction results for first level screening purposes as well as for assessing project impacts, it is more convenient and easier to use the logarithmic equation described below.

EXISTING CONDITIONS. Analysis of existing noise conditions uses monitored noise levels and observations made during the monitoring period to assess noise levels and their sources. Most often, it may be assumed that substantially all measured noise at a measurement site is associated with the vehicular traffic passing the site. This is a proper assumption as long as vehicular noise levels are at least 10 dBA above levels associated with all other noise sources. The results of the noise monitoring program are reported as existing conditions in the environmental assessment.

If noise levels cannot be measured at a receptor location, measured data from a site in the area may sometimes be adjusted assuming a 3 dB(A) attenuation per doubling of distance to estimate existing noise levels at the receptor location.

FUTURE NO-ACTION CONDITION. To arrive at the No-Action noise condition, the results of the No-Action traffic analysis (see Chapter 16, “Transportation”) are used to compute total Noise PCEs passing each receptor site. From the existing and No-Action traffic data, existing and No-Action Noise PCEs are calculated in the following manner (see Subsection 331.2 under “Other Activities During the Conduct of the Noise Measurements” for definitions of vehicle types):

- Each Automobile or Light Truck: 1 Noise PCE
- Each Medium Truck: 13 Noise PCEs
- Each Bus: 18 Noise PCEs
- Each Heavy Truck: 47 Noise PCEs

Note: These values were obtained using the TNM model, assuming a speed of 25 mph and a distance of 30 feet from the roadway. For speeds below 25 mph, the TNM model should be run to develop project-specific screening values. For projects with traffic moving at higher speeds and/or receptors at more than 30 feet from the roadway, either the default values shown above or project-specific values obtained using the TNM model may be used for purposes of screening.

After the Noise PCEs are calculated and tabulated at each receptor site, the No-Action noise levels are calculated using the following equation:

Equation 19-1

$$\text{FNA NL} = 10 \log (\text{NA PCE} / \text{E PCE}) + \text{E NL}$$

where:

FNA NL = Future No-Action Noise Level

NA PCE = No-Action Noise PCEs

E PCE = Existing Noise PCEs

E NL = Existing Noise Level

The calculation is conducted using the Leq(1) noise measurement results. L10(1) values are calculated by adding the difference between the L10(1) and Leq(1) descriptors found to exist in the measurement program to the calculated No-Action Leq(1) noise level. The results of the No-Action noise level calculation are then reported in the environmental assessment.

FUTURE WITH-ACTION CONDITION. The identical analysis procedure is used to determine the With-Action condition, with calculated total Noise PCEs derived from the With-Action traffic analysis. To determine potential significant impacts, the With-Action condition noise levels are compared with the No-Action noise levels, applicable standards and impact thresholds at each receptor (see Sections 410 and 710, below).

332.2. Aircraft Noise

EXISTING CONDITIONS. While FAA Ldn contours are of general interest and should be reported because they show annual average values over a 24-hour period and tend to average out high hourly values, they are of limited use for an impact assessment because it is generally necessary to calculate Leq(1) values to determine project impacts. Leq(1) values, as well as Ldn values, may be calculated using the Federal INM V7.0a computer model or other acceptable models based on actual noise measurement.

Computerized models, such as CadnaA FLG and SoundPLAN, either have developed or are in the process of developing algorithms that incorporate aircraft noise calculations. Upon verification that these algorithms produce results comparable to the INM V7.0a model, they may be utilized for CEQR analyses.

NO-ACTION CONDITION. The same analysis methods used to estimate existing aircraft noise levels are to be used in the No-Action scenario using the No-Action aircraft mix.

WITH-ACTION CONDITION. The same analysis methods used to estimate existing aircraft noise levels are to be used in the With-Action scenario using the With-Action aircraft mix. To determine potential significant impacts, the With-Action condition noise levels are compared with the No-Action noise levels, applicable standards and impact thresholds at each of the receptors (see Sections 410 and 710, below).

332.3. Train Noise

EXISTING CONDITIONS. Noise from train operations is calculated using the detailed noise analysis methodology contained in the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) guidance manual, Transit Noise and Vibration Assessment (May 2006). Using this methodology, Leq(1) values may be calculated as a function of a number of factors, including the distance between the track and receptor; shielding at the receptor; number of trains; average number of cars per train; train speed; track conditions; whether the track is on grade or on structure; *etc.* Calculated values using the FTA methodology may either be used directly or, based upon measurements, adjustment factors may be developed to account for site-specific differences between measured and model-predicted values.

Computerized models, such as CadnaA and SoundPLAN, either have developed or are in the process of developing algorithms that incorporate the FTA and/or FRA algorithms for rail transit noise calculations. Upon verification that these algorithms produce comparable results to the FTA algorithm, they may be utilized for CEQR analyses.

NO-ACTION CONDITION. The same analysis methods used to estimate existing train noise levels are used in the No-Action scenario using the No-Action train mix.

333. STATIONARY SOURCES

EXISTING CONDITIONS. Noise levels of existing stationary sources should be measured at the noise-sensitive receptors closest to the source. If the stationary source in question would be part of the proposed project and does not currently exist, noise measurements should be performed at the property line of the site closest to the proposed stationary source(s) and at the closest noise-sensitive receptors to ensure that spatial coverage and receptor “type” coverage is adequate. For example, if there is a park nearby and residential units nearby, both need to be monitored for existing conditions.

NO ACTION CONDITION. In cases where new stationary sources are to be introduced into the study area in the future without the project, the noise contribution from these facilities is predicted at the noise-sensitive receptors and/or the project site and added to existing noise levels to obtain the No-Action condition. The calculations are based on operational information from the entity responsible for the new stationary noise sources.

WITH-ACTION CONDITION. If the project under consideration involves locating a potential noise sensitive receptor near an existing stationary noise source, then measurements made at the site location of the existing stationary source are generally used for the impact evaluation. Where the proposed project involves a new stationary source, the analysis should focus on determining maximum $L_{eq}(1)$ values at receptor locations (including the property line) with the stationary source operating. The first step in this calculation is acquiring project-specific noise emission data from the manufacturer, or, lacking that, estimating the emission levels from a review of the literature. Often the data is provided in terms of sound power level. This noise descriptor, expressed in decibels, is a measure of the total acoustic power of a source. It may be used to predict the sound level at a given distance using the formula:

Equation 19-2

$$L_p = L_w - 20 \cdot \log(d) - A_e$$

where:

L_p is the sound pressure level

L_w is the sound power level

d is the distance from the source to the receiver in feet

A_e is excess attenuation caused by environmental and

While noise emission data from the manufacturer of the stationary equipment is always the best source, when this is not available information may be available from industry groups such as EPRI (3412 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304 USA), in publications such as Electric Power Plant Environmental Noise Guide published by the Edison Electric Institute, or in industry-sponsored computer models. Other alternatives include locating an operating facility with similar equipment and performing measurements at that facility,

preferably at similar distances and under similar conditions to those anticipated for the proposed project. Once data are acquired, the next step is predicting the sound levels at the noise sensitive receptors. Where a single or several discrete sources exist, and where the distances are moderate and have an unobstructed line of sight, this may be accomplished using basic noise fundamentals for calculation (*i.e.*, the addition of sound levels, frequency adjustments to get A-weighted values, *etc.*). For example, if sound power data is available, the equation given above may then be used. If sound level data are available, the following equation may be used to estimate sound levels at a receptor:

Equation 19-3

$$Lp1 = Lp2 - 20 \cdot \log(d1/d2)$$

where:

Lp1 is sound pressure level at the receptor

Lp2 is sound pressure level at the reference location

d1 is the distance from the source to the receptor

d2 is the distance at which the source sound level data is known

Any attenuation by structures around the source or noise control measures (such as silencers, acoustic barriers, *etc.*) that are to be used must be considered in calculating sound levels at the receptors.

Where there are many individual sources associated with the project, and when there is varying landscape (parks, buildings, trees) between the source and receptors, calculations become even more complicated. In addition, data provided by manufacturers and/or the literature are often presented in octave bands. While it is useful to perform the calculations in octave bands, particularly when de-signing noise control features, the calculated octave band values should be converted to equivalent A-weighted values for impact evaluation purposes. Both ANSI and ISO have documents which de-cribe techniques and considerations for carrying out these calculations. Following these procedures often involves programming a computer spreadsheet to automate the details (*i.e.*, sound power level to sound pressure level conversion as a function of frequency and distance; application of attenuation of buildings, barriers, terrain, noise control as a function of frequency; summation of contributions of the various sources; and conversion to A-weighted sound levels).

Computer models are also available that are based upon the various standards and allow the calculations to be carried out. These models also often include databases of source sound levels for use in the model. Programs such as CadnaA developed by DataKustik, NOISECALC developed by the New York State Department of Public Service, SPM9613 developed by Power Acoustics Inc, SoundPLAN developed by Braunstein+Berndt GmbH, Electric Utility Environmental Noise Program developed by the Empire State Electric Energy Research Corporation, and Predictor 7810 developed by Brüel & Kjær are examples of such programs. These programs are not specifically endorsed, and other pro-grams may be available to perform similar functions.

In all cases, rather than using theoretical modeling techniques, it is preferable to use actual facility data. Therefore, if a facility comparable to the proposed project can be measured, and its levels can be adjusted to account for differences in conditions between its site and the proposed project site, that is generally a preferred modeling approach.

As previously mentioned, noise generated by children in playgrounds or people using parks is considered stationary source noise. For locations adjacent to playgrounds or parks, absent data for comparable facilities, based upon noise measurements made at ten school playground sites in 1987, it may be assumed that Leq(1) noise levels at the boundary would be 75 dB(A), 15 feet from the boundary would be 73 dB(A), 30 feet from the boundary would be 70 dB(A), and the noise level would decrease by 4.5 dB(A) per doubling of distance beyond 30 feet. In some situations, these values may overestimate playground noise levels. It is prudent to consult with DEP to see if updated information is available prior to using these screening values.

To determine potential significant impacts, the With-Action condition noise levels are compared with the No-Action noise levels, applicable standards and impact thresholds at each of the receptor locations or within contours developed to indicate noise levels within varying distances from a source (see Sections 410 and 710, below).

334. COMBINED EFFECTS OF MOBILE AND STATIONARY NOISE SOURCES

Each mobile and stationary source analysis yields a maximum Leq(1) noise level. These values are logarithmically added to yield a total maximum-possible Leq(1) level. To determine the potential for significant impacts caused by the proposed project, the totals in the With-Action condition are compared to the No-Action total noise levels at the respective receptor locations, the applicable standards, and the impact thresholds.

335. USE OF PROPRIETARY MODELS

Proprietary models may be used for analysis purposes only if they have been deemed appropriate by the re-viewing agency or agencies, and full disclosure of the model, the model's operation, and all data are made available to the reviewing agency or agencies. Information on proprietary models may not be able to be treated as confidential. Consequently, the use of proprietary models should be discussed with the reviewing agency or agencies.

400. DETERMINING IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE

The following section provides guidelines and recommendations for the determination of impact significance. Depending on the project, using either one, or both, of the following approaches to determine impact significance may be appropriate. The first approach describes the use of absolute noise level limits (absolute noise impact criteria). The second approach describes the use of an incremental change from No-Action conditions (relative impact criteria). For either approach, two considerations must be made:

- Are the existing and future receptors experiencing noise levels above absolute limits? (Absolute limits, in this case, relate to published standards (see Section 710, below))
- Would the proposed project become a sensitive receptor in the area?

410. IMPACT THRESHOLDS AT RECEPTORS

The selection of incremental values and absolute noise levels should be responsive to the nuisance levels of noise and critical time periods when nuisance levels are most acute. During daytime hours (between 7 AM and 10 PM), nuisance levels for noise are generally considered to be more than 45 dB(A) indoors and 70 to 75 dB(A) outdoors. Indoor activities are subject to task interference above this level, and 70 to 75 dB(A) is the level at which speech interference occurs

outdoors. Typical construction techniques used in the past (including typical single-glazed windows) provide a minimum of approximately 20 dB(A) of noise attenuation from outdoor to indoor areas.

In view of these factors and for the purposes of determining a significant impact during daytime hours, it is reasonable to consider 65 dB(A) Leq(1) as an absolute noise level that should not be significantly exceeded. For example, if the No-Action noise level is 60 dB(A) Leq(1) or less, a 5 dB(A) Leq(1) or greater increase would be considered significant. If the No-Action noise level is 61 dB(A) Leq(1), the maximum incremental increase would be 4 dB(A), since an increase higher than this would result in a noise level higher than the 65 dB(A) Leq(1) threshold and is considered significant. Similarly, if the No-Action noise level is 62 dB(A) Leq(1) or more, a 3 dB(A) Leq(1) or greater change is considered significant.

Nighttime (between 10 PM and 7 AM) is a particularly critical time period relative to potential nuisance values for noise level increases. Therefore, irrespective of the total nighttime noise levels, an increase of 3 dB(A) Leq(1) is typically considered a significant impact during nighttime hours.

420. IMPACT THRESHOLDS FOR PROPOSED PROJECTS THAT INTRODUCE SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

Impact thresholds for proposed projects that introduce sensitive receptors are more straightforward. Typically, potential significant impacts on the newly created receptor relate to absolute noise limits. The Noise Exposure Guidelines shown in Table 19-2 are followed by lead agencies for this purpose. If a proposed project is within an area where the project noise levels exceed the marginally acceptable limit shown in the Noise Exposure Guidelines (as measured at the proposed building line, or if that is not known, at the property line), a significant impact would occur. Then, the project would be subject to mitigation measures necessary to bring its interior noise levels down to a level of 25 dB(A) or more below the maximum marginally acceptable levels (by receptor type) for external exposure shown in Table 19-2. If the proposed project includes a publicly accessible outdoor area requiring serenity and quiet (such as a park for passive recreation), the feasibility and applicability of implementing mitigation measures to bring exterior noise levels to below 55 dB(A) L10(1) should be explored on a case by case basis in consultation with the lead agency and the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation (or controlling entity if it would not be a city park).

The manner in which these typical significant impact thresholds are applied to mobile and stationary sources is discussed below.

Table 19-2 Noise Exposure Guidelines For Use in City Environmental Impact Review¹

Receptor Type	Time Period	Acceptable General External Exposure	Airport ³ Exposure	Marginally Acceptable General External Exposure	Airport ³ Exposure	Marginally Unacceptable General External Exposure	Airport ³ Exposure	Clearly Unacceptable General External Exposure	Airport ³ Exposure
1. Outdoor area requiring serenity and quiet ²		$L_{10} \leq 55$ dBA	----- $L_{40} \leq 60$ dBA-----		----- $60 < L_{40} \leq 65$ dBA-----		(i) $65 < L_{40} \leq 70$ dBA, (ii) $70 \leq L_{40}$		----- $L_{40} \leq 75$ dBA-----
2. Hospital, nursing home		$L_{10} \leq 55$ dBA		$55 < L_{10} \leq 65$ dBA		$65 < L_{10} \leq 80$ dBA		$L_{10} > 80$ dBA	
3. Residence, residential hotel, or motel	(7 AM to 10 PM)	$L_{10} \leq 65$ dBA		$65 < L_{10} \leq 70$ dBA		$70 < L_{10} \leq 80$ dBA		$L_{10} > 80$ dBA	
	(10 PM to 7 AM)	$L_{10} \leq 55$ dBA		$55 < L_{10} \leq 70$ dBA		$70 < L_{10} \leq 80$ dBA		$L_{10} > 80$ dBA	
4. School, museum, library, court, house of worship, transient hotel or motel, public meeting room, auditorium, out-patient public health facility		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)	
5. Commercial or office		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)	
6. Industrial, public areas only ⁴	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4				

Notes:

(i) In addition, any new activity shall not increase the ambient noise level by 3 dB(A) or more.

¹ Measurements and projections of noise exposures are to be made at appropriate heights above site boundaries as given by American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Standards; all values are for the worst hour in the time period.

² Tracts of land where serenity and quiet are extraordinarily important and serve as important public need, and where the preservation of these qualities is essential for the area to serve its intended purpose. Such areas could include amphitheaters, particular parks or portions of parks, or open spaces dedicated or recognized by appropriate local officials for activities requiring special qualities of serenity and quiet. Examples are grounds for ambulatory hospital patients and patients and residents of sanitariums and nursing homes.

³ One may use the FAA-approved L_{40} contours supplied by the Port Authority, or the noise contours may be computed from the federally approved INM Computer Model using flight data supplied by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey.

⁴ External Noise Exposure standards for industrial areas of sounds produced by industrial operations other than operating motor vehicles or other transportation facilities are spelled out in the New York City Zoning Resolution, Sections 42-20 and 42-21. The referenced standards apply to M1, M2, and M3 manufacturing districts and to adjoining residence districts (performance standards are octave band standards).

Sources: New York City Department of Environmental Protection (adopted policy 1983).

421. MOBILE SOURCES

421.1. Vehicular Noise

The impact assessments for vehicular noise compare the proposed project Leq(1) noise levels at receptors potentially affected by the project to those calculated for the No-Action condition . If the No-Action levels are less than 60 dB(A) Leq(1) and the analysis period is not at nighttime, an increase of 5 dB(A) Leq(1) or more in the future with the project would be considered a significant impact. In order for the 5 dB(A) threshold to be valid, the resultant With-Action condition noise level would have to be equal to or less than 65 dB(A). If the No-Action noise level is equal to or greater than 62 dB(A) Leq(1), or if the analysis period is a nighttime analysis period, the incremental significant impact threshold would be 3 dB(A) Leq(1). If the No-Action noise level increase would be 4 dB(A), since an increase higher than this would result in a noise level higher than the 65 dB(A) Leq(1) threshold and be considered significant.

If the proposed project would introduce a sensitive receptor, With-Action noise levels in dB(A) L10(1) would be compared to the values contained in the Noise Exposure Guidelines. If these noise levels would exceed the marginally acceptable levels, a significant impact would occur unless the building design as proposed provides a composite building attenuation that would be sufficient to reduce these levels to an acceptable interior noise level. These values are shown in Table 19-3. The applicant should demonstrate that sufficient attenuation is provided in the form of composite building

attenuation calculations based upon the Outdoor Indoor Transmission Class (OITC) values of individual major window/wall/ventilation components, unless a federal funding source, as defined in Subsection 723 of this chapter, requires usage of a different single number rating, such as the Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating, to calculate the noise levels and attenuation values.

Table 19-3 Required Attenuation Values To Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels

Marginally Unacceptable					Clearly Unacceptable
Noise level with proposed project	$70 < L_{10} \leq 73$	$73 < L_{10} \leq 76$	$76 < L_{10} \leq 78$	$78 < L_{10} \leq 80$	$80 < L_{10}$
Attenuation A	(I) 28 dB(A)	(II) 31 dB(A)	(III) 33 dB(A)	(IV) 35 dB(A)	$36 + (L_{10} - 80)B$ dB(A)
<p>The above composite window-wall attenuation values are for residential dwellings and community facility development. Commercial office spaces and meeting rooms would be 5 dB(A) less in each category. All the above categories require a closed window situation and hence an alternate means of ventilation.</p> <p>Attenuation values increase by 1 dB(A) increments for L_{10} values greater than 80 dBA.</p> <p>Source: New York City Department of Environmental Protection</p>					

421.2. Aircraft Noise

If the proposed project would create an aircraft facility (heliport or airport), cause a change in flight paths or flight frequency at an aircraft facility, or be subject to aircraft noise, the impact criteria discussed in Sections 410 and 420 apply. If these levels in dB(A) $L_{dn}(y)$ exceed the marginally acceptable level, a significant impact would occur, unless the building design as proposed provides a composite building attenuation that would be sufficient to reduce these levels to an acceptable interior noise level. In the case of significantly impacted buildings, design measures should be implemented that achieve the levels of composite building attenuation provided in Table 19-3. The applicant should demonstrate that sufficient attenuation is provided in the form of composite building attenuation calculations based upon the OITC values of individual major window/wall/ventilation components, unless a federal funding source, as defined in Subsection 723 of this chapter, requires usage of a different single number rating, such as the STC rating, to calculate the noise levels and attenuation values.

421.3. Train Noise

If the proposed project would create a rail facility, cause a change in frequency of trains along the rail facility, or be subject to rail noise, the impact criteria discussed in Sections 410 and 420 apply. If these levels in dB(A) $L_{dn}(1)$ exceed the marginally acceptable level, a significant impact would occur, unless the building design as proposed provides a composite building attenuation that would be sufficient to reduce these levels to an acceptable interior noise level. In the case of significantly impacted buildings, design measures should be implemented that achieve the levels of composite building attenuation provided in Table 19-3. The applicant should demonstrate that sufficient attenuation is provided in the form of composite building attenuation calculations based upon the OITC values of individual major window/wall/ventilation components, unless a federal funding source, as defined in Subsection 723 of this chapter, requires usage of a different single number rating, such as the STC rating, to calculate the noise levels and attenuation values.

422. STATIONARY SOURCES

If a proposed project would be subject to stationary source noise levels greater than the impact criteria discussed in Section 410, a significant impact would occur, unless the building design as proposed provides a composite building attenuation that would be sufficient to reduce these levels to an acceptable interior noise level. In the case of significantly impacted buildings, design measures should be implemented that achieve the levels of composite building attenuation provided in Table 19-3. The applicant should demonstrate that sufficient attenuation is provided in the form of composite building attenuation calculations based upon the OITC values of individual major window/wall/ventilation components, unless a federal funding source, as defined in Subsection 723 of this chapter, requires usage of a different single number rating, such as the STC rating, to calculate the noise levels and attenuation values.

500. DEVELOPING MITIGATION

The following section provides guidelines and recommendations for developing mitigation of a significant noise impact. General types of possible mitigation measures that may be used to alleviate significant noise impacts for the different source types are discussed.

510. MOBILE SOURCES

511. VEHICULAR NOISE

The first mitigation option to be considered is to reroute the traffic that would cause the significant impact. This is generally possible only for facilities that generate traffic under the control of the applicant (for example, a city vehicle storage facility would fit this requirement, but a commercial office building would not). Where this mitigation appears appropriate, it is necessary to be sure that the rerouted traffic would not simply relocate the significant noise impact or introduce a significant traffic or air quality impact in another location.

If rerouting is not feasible, the most common mitigation measure used for vehicular noise impacts is to provide adequate window/wall attenuation at the affected receptor to conform with the Noise Exposure Guide-lines acceptable interior noise levels of 45 dB(A) L10(1). When maximum hourly exterior levels are greater than 70 dB(A), alternate means of ventilation should be incorporated into buildings so that windows do not need to be opened at any time of the year. If windows were open, the effect of the window-wall attenuation would be reduced. An alternate means of ventilation would allow for a closed window condition, ensuring that acceptable interior noise levels are achieved. For existing receptors where the maximum exterior noise level is less than 75 dB(A), standard double-glazed and/or laminated windows are available that would provide adequate noise attenuation. However, as the maximum exterior noise level increases, the project may be required to incorporate special designs into the windows and possibly the exterior walls of buildings to conform to Noise Exposure Guidelines.

At locations adjacent to highways and limited access roadways, barrier walls (and sometimes berms) may be used for vehicular traffic noise impact mitigation; however, to be effective in providing attenuation, the barrier wall must interrupt the line of sight between the noise source (the flow of traffic) and the receptor. Buildings taller than the barriers receive no acoustical benefit from their presence. Barriers could also detract from

the aesthetics of neighborhoods and, therefore, may be impractical for most uses in the New York City area. There are a number of methodologies for calculating the noise attenuation attributable to noise barriers, including the use of the TNM model algorithms.

512. AIRCRAFT NOISE

The first mitigation option investigated should be to change the flight path. If this mitigation is appropriate, it is necessary to ensure that the mitigation does not merely relocate the significant impact to another area. In addition, facility use restrictions (e.g., capacity limitations, lower takeoff angles, curfews, using only certain types of aircraft, etc.) should be investigated. These measures would require commitment from the appropriate agency.

If flight operations adjustment is not feasible, the only possible mitigation measure for aircraft significant noise impacts is treatment of all exterior walls and roofs of buildings to ensure that interior noise levels would be less than 45 dB(A) L10(1). If exterior noise levels are less than 75 dB(A), double-glazed or laminated windows (with alternate means of ventilation for levels above 70 dB(A)) should be provided to achieve adequate attenuation and ensure interior noise levels of 45 dB(A). However, if noise levels are equal to or greater than 75 dB(A), special designs may have to be incorporated into windows, walls, roofs, and doors.

513. TRAIN NOISE

Mitigation measures available for significant noise impacts are the exterior building attenuation measures discussed above (Subsection 512) for significant vehicular noise impacts, barrier wall (or berm) construction, treating the vehicles, wheel truing and rail grinding, rail lubrication on sharp curves, and providing operational restrictions. Barrier wall attenuation has a practical limit of 10 to 15 dB(A), so it would provide complete impact mitigation only when exterior Leq(1) levels (for existing uses) at receptors are less than 75 dB(A). It must also be kept in mind that barriers are only effective when the line-of-sight is broken between the source and receiver. Therefore, buildings with windows higher than the barrier may not receive much benefit from the barriers and exterior wall attenuation, and window attenuation and an alternate means of ventilation would have to be designed into the facades of buildings facing the rail activity.

520. STATIONARY SOURCES

The most common mitigation measures available for stationary sources include exterior building attenuation (as discussed for mobile sources in Subsection 511 above), barrier erection (as discussed above), and noise control design on the source in question. Caution should be exercised when erecting barriers in New York City given the limitations mentioned above. In many cases, treating the noise source (i.e., providing baffles, silencers, mufflers, sound insulation, placing it within an enclosed structure, etc.) may be the least expensive option. Moving the source in question so that receptors would not be significantly affected is also a potential mitigation measure.

530. REZONINGS AND THE (E) DESIGNATION

The "E" Designation is an institutional control that is implemented through CEQR review of a zoning project and provides a mechanism to ensure that measures aimed at avoiding significant

adverse impacts and, if necessary, remediation are part of future development. It is typically used to designate sites that meet all four of the following criteria:

- Potential to be developed as a consequence of the proposed project;
- Not publicly owned;
- Not controlled by the applicant;
- The analysis identified potential significant adverse noise impacts.

Because (E) designations are developed on a site-specific basis, DEP works with the lead agency during the CEQR process to identify (E) sites. As of May 11, 2009, the Mayor's Office of Environmental Remediation (OER) is responsible for administering post-CEQR determinations for projects with assigned (E) designations and Restrictive Declarations recorded on privately-owned parcels.

Chapter 24 of Title 15 of the Rules of the City of New York and Section 11-15 of the Zoning Resolution of the City of New York set out the procedures for placing, satisfying and removing (E) designations. OER reviews and approves all material needed to satisfy the requirement of a noise (E) designation. On "E" designated lots, the Department of Buildings (DOB) will not issue the following permits until they receive an appropriate "Notice" from OER (or formerly DEP) that the "E" designation requirements have been met:

- Permits that would allow development; or
- Permits that would allow enlargement, extension or change of use involving residential or community facility use.

As appropriate, OER issues the applicable notices to DOB including a Notice of No Objection, Notice to Proceed or Notice of Satisfaction.

(E) designations are shown on the zoning maps and are listed in a table appended to the zoning maps, and also appear in DOB's online Building Information System (BIS).

540. RESTRICTIVE DECLARATIONS

When the applicant controls the development site, a Restrictive Declaration may be used as an institutional control to ensure that the required mitigation occur as part of the development. It should not be used as a means to forego the CEQR investigation.

The Restrictive Declaration binds the applicant, as property owner or long-term lessee, future owners/lessees and other parties-in-interest, to engineering control measures (e.g., windows, alternate means of ventilation, etc.) that must be met at pre-determined stages of the project and overseen by DEP during the CEQR review process or OER for post-CEQR review. As with (E) designations, OER is responsible for administering post-CEQR determinations with respect to Restrictive Declarations.

In particular, a Restrictive Declaration requires:

- Written approval from OER before DOB may issue the following permits: permits that would allow development; permits that would allow enlargement, extension or change of use involving residential or community facility use; or permits that would allow enlargement that disturbs soil; and
- Written notice from OER (typically a Notice of Satisfaction, but sometimes a Notice of No Objection) before DOB may issue a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Occupancy.

Because Restrictive Declarations and the other legal mechanisms ensure the performance of requisite testing and/or remediation are developed on a site-specific basis, during the CEQR process, DEP should be consulted on their utility at certain sites as well as on their form and content.

Upon completion of a CEQR review by DEP, lead agency CEQR determination, recording of a Restrictive Declaration and/or assignment of (E) designations, OER may review all historical documentation related to the noise analysis (i.e., EAS/EIS, Technical Report(s), modeling results, lead agency and DEP correspondence, Restrictive Declarations, Notices, etc.) prior to determining and approving the proper remedial plan.

600. DEVELOPING ALTERNATIVES

In developing project alternatives to reduce or avoid significant noise impacts, the simplest and most common way of analyzing the situation is to calculate the conditions that would just avoid an impact and tailor the project alternative to that new scenario. For instance, if a significant vehicular traffic noise impact were identified at a receptor, the project-generated L10(1) worst-hour increase would be at least 3 dB(A). If one calculated the project-generated traffic volume that would cause a less than 3 dB(A) increase in worst-hour L10(1) values, that traffic volume would define the alternative project volume. A change in plan that dispersed traffic differently or reduced the size and thus the trip generation from the project may address this issue. Similar analysis techniques to this may be used for analyzing alternatives from any relative impact criterion.

When dealing with absolute impact criteria, alternative project arrangements may be set by moving, scaling down, or shielding the original project to the point where significant impacts are avoided. For instance, if a manufacturing facility generated a significant impact at a residence, the noise-generating part of the facility may be moved to the distance at which the noise levels at the property line would be low enough not to cause a significant impact. Another possible alternative would be to scale down operations until noise levels reached would not cause a significant impact. Yet another alternative to the project may include a building or barrier between the noise-generating facility and the property line to shield the noise to the point where a significant impact would be avoided. These options may each have to be evaluated in terms of their feasibility and potential impacts on other environmental assessment categories.

700. REGULATIONS AND COORDINATION

710. REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS

Regulations applicable to New York City environmental noise assessments are found in the Noise Exposure Guide-lines. These regulations, which apply to all private or city-sponsored projects subject to CEQR in New York City, are described below. When a project to be undertaken in New York City also includes some level of State or federal involvement, additional State or federal regulations may also apply.

In 1983 DEP adopted City Environmental Protection Order-City Environmental Quality Review (CEPO-CEQR) noise guidelines for environmental impact review. Four categories of acceptability have been established, based on noise level limits and land use, for vehicular traffic, rail, and aircraft noise sources. These acceptability categories include: “generally acceptable,” “marginally acceptable,” “marginally unacceptable,” and “clearly unacceptable.”

These categories and associated noise limits apply to exterior noise levels only. The levels are shown in Table 19-3. The exterior limitations are based on an acceptable interior noise level of 45 dB(A) (L10(l) or Ldn, depending on the source). Only mobile sources are included in the standards. Each of the three noise source classifications is analyzed separately and in terms of different descriptors. Mitigation requirements have been developed according to the noise category. Both absolute and relative impact criteria are presented.

711. NEW YORK CITY NOISE CONTROL CODE

In addition to the Noise Exposure Guidelines, the New York City Noise Control Code governs noise emissions in New York City, and the New York City Zoning Resolution includes noise performance standards for any manufacturing activity in manufacturing districts. These have not traditionally been used for purposes of CEQR environmental assessments. However, it is appropriate to discuss the proposed project's method for compliance with the Noise Control Code. Below is a description of the Noise Code.

The New York City Noise Control Code, as amended in 2005, defines "unreasonable and prohibited noise standards and decibel levels" for the City of New York. The amended Noise Control Code specifically addresses noise from circulation devices and commercial and business enterprises (see Subsection 711.1, be-low).

711.1. Circulation Devices §24-227

The New York City Noise Control Code stipulates the following noise limits that apply to "circulation devices," which include HVAC equipment, when measured inside a receiving property dwelling unit:

- A circulation device shall not create a sound level in excess of 42 dB(A);
- The cumulative sound from all circulation devices on a building shall not create a sound level in excess of 45 dB(A).

As per §24-227(a), the measurement shall be taken in a receiving property dwelling unit with the window or terrace door open at a point three feet from the open portion of the window or terrace door.

Note: If the cumulative sound from all circulation devices on a building exceed 50 dB(A), when measured inside a receiving property dwelling unit, the commissioner may order the owner or person in control of such devices to achieve a 5 dB(A) reduction in such cumulative sound level within not more than 12 months after the issuance of such order (see §24-227(c)).

Table 19-4 New York City Noise Control Code §24-232

Octave Band, Frequency (Hz)	Maximum Sound Pressure Levels (dB) as Measured Within a Receiving Property as Specified Below	
	<i>Residential receiving property for mixed-use buildings and residential buildings (as measured within any room of the residential portion of the building with windows open, if possible)</i>	<i>Commercial receiving property (as measured within any room containing offices within the building with windows open, if possible)</i>
31.5	70	74
63	61	64
125	53	56
250	46	50
500	40	45
1000	36	41
2000	34	39
4000	33	38
8000	32	37

711.2. Allowable Decibel Levels-Octave Band Measurement §24-232

The New York City Noise Control Code specifies maximum allowable sound pressure levels for designated octave bands emanating from a commercial or business enterprise as measured within a receiving property. These values are shown in Table 19-4.

712. New York City Zoning Resolution

RESOLUTION PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR MANUFACTURING DISTRICTS

The New York City Zoning Resolution Performance Standards for Manufacturing Districts uses maximum instantaneous octave band sound pressure levels as its noise descriptor for industrial noise sources. These values are shown in Table 19-5.

Table 19-5 City of New York Noise Performance Standards for Manufacturing Districts

Octave Band, in cycles per second (Hz)	M1 District (dB)	M2 District (dB)	M3 District (dB)
20 to 75	79	79	80
75 to 150	74	75	75
150 to 300	66	68	70
300 to 600	59	62	64
600 to 1200	53	56	58
1200 to 2400	47	51	53
2400 to 4800	41	47	49
Above 4800	39	44	46

Source: City of New York Performance Standards for Manufacturing Districts Section 42-213

More information regarding the Performance Standards may be found in Section 42-20 of the Zoning Resolution of the City of New York, Chapter 2, “Use Regulations.”

SPECIAL MIXED USE DISTRICTS

Section 123-32 of the New York City Zoning Resolution requires that all new dwelling units in a Special Mixed Use District are required to provide a minimum window wall attenuation of 35 dB(A) to maintain an interior noise level of 45 dB(A).

720. APPLICABLE COORDINATION

Lead agencies may need to coordinate with other agencies when developing an environmental noise assessment for a proposed project in New York City. The need for coordination depends on either the mitigation required to reduce or eliminate the significant impact or the funding sources for the project. This is discussed below in terms of city, state, and federal agencies.

721. CITY COORDINATION

The lead agency may need to coordinate with other agencies when developing mitigation measures for significantly impacted facilities under the control of those agencies. Examples of this coordination may include coordination with the Board of Education or the New York City Housing Authority for the installation of double-glazed windows and alternate means of ventilation at a school or residential building experiencing significant noise impacts from a proposed project. For technical assistance in conducting noise analyses, the lead agency may wish to coordinate with DEP.

722. STATE COORDINATION

If any part of the proposed project would involve a State-funded highway, coordination concerning analysis methodologies and significant impact thresholds with the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) is necessary. In general, NYSDOT follows the guidelines of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Otherwise, no coordination with State agencies on noise issues is necessary.

723. FEDERAL COORDINATION

If any part of the proposed project would be financially assisted by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), analysis methodologies, significant impact thresholds, and reporting of noise information should be in accordance with HUD noise regulations or in a form acceptable to HUD officials. If any part of the proposed project would involve a federally-funded highway, coordination with FHWA (usually through the State) for the same items is necessary. Any part of the proposed project dealing with new aircraft or flight patterns should be coordinated with FAA. New rail projects funded by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) should be coordinated with that agency for analysis methodologies and significant impact thresholds.

730. LOCATION OF INFORMATION

If some level of environmental noise assessment is required for a proposed project, it is useful to obtain any re-cent data or information concerning existing noise levels in the area of the proposed project, or information concerning other development proposed in the area that could affect future noise levels. Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) for such other proposals may be available through MOEC. Other than the identification of future planned projects, however, previous EISs seldom contribute other useful data for analysis purposes. Information regarding removing (E) designations may be obtained from OER.